
ATTACHMENT 2
HSC PIPELINE RELOCATION
EVALUATION

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
1a. Bollivar Roads to Redfish	135+000	A.1	Cameron Highway Oil Pipeline	24	-80	-46	34	Yes	
1a. Bollivar Roads to Redfish	122+000	B.1	Williams Pipeline (Black Marlin)	16	-75.26	-46	29.26	Yes	
1a. Bollivar Roads to Redfish	117+000	C.1	Denbury (Kinder Morgan)	18	-82	-46	36	Yes	
1b. Redfish to Bayport	90+000	D.1	Florida Gas	24	-78	-46	32	Yes	
1b. Redfish to Bayport	71+500	E.1	Layton Products	10.75	-74.42	-46	28.42	Yes	
1c. Bayport to Barbours Cut	21+000	F.1	Davis Petroleum (abandoned in place)	10	-66	-46	20	No	\$4,392,500
Total:									\$ 4,392,500.00

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
2. Bayport Channel	N/A ⁶	N/A	Davis Petroleum (abandoned in place)	10	-5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total:									\$ -

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
3. Barbours Cut Channel	N/A ⁷	N/A	HSC 24" NGL Pipeline	24	-100.66	-46.5	54.16	Yes	
Total:									\$ -

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	687+75.06	1.1	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	16	-130	-46	84	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	687+75.06	1.1	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	12	-130	-46	84	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	687+75.06	1.1	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	Fiber	-130	-46	84	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	687+75	1.2.11.2.2	HFOTCO LLC	24	-125	-46	79	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	690+01.85	1.3.1	Shell Oil Company	16	-105	-46	59	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	687+75	1.4.11.4.2	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	30	-195	-46	149	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.1	Kinder Morgan Texas Pipeline LP	2-12	-50	-46	4	No	\$2,384,500
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.2	Howell	6	-60	-46	14	No	\$1,380,500
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.2	Natural Gas Pipeline Company	6	-60	-46	14	No	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.3	Olin Corporation	3-10	-50	-46	4	No	\$2,196,250
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.3	Olin Corporation	10	-50	-46	4	No	\$2,196,250
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.3	Olin Corporation	10	-50	-46	4	No	\$2,196,250
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.4.1 2.4.2	HSC Pipeline Partnership, LLC	12	-82	-46	36	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.5.1	Enterprise Houston Ship	24	-100.5	-46	54.5	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	705+81	2.6.1 2.6.2 2.6.3	HSC Pipeline Partnership, LLC	8	-80	-46	34	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	722+00	3.1	Air Products LLC	6	-72 ¹	-46	26	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	722+00	3.1	Air Products LLC	6	-72 ¹	-46	26	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	722+00	3.2	Kinder Morgan Crude and Condensate LLC	24	-108.9	-46	62.9	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	779+98	4.1	HSC Pipeline Partnership, LLC	8	-60 ²	-46	14	No	\$1,601,380

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	779+98	4.2	INEOS Pipeline Investment Company	16	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	779+35	4.3	Colonial Pipeline Company	40	-72 ¹	-46	26	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens		4.4	HFOTCO LLC	24	-120	-46	74	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	779+98	4.7	Seminole Pipeline Company LLC (Colonial)	20	-55	-46	9	No	\$2,208,800
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	777+34.63	4.5	Southtex 66 Pipeline Company Ltd	8	-90	-46	44	Yes	
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	778+83	4.6	Explorer Pipeline Company	28	-60	-46	14	No	\$3,915,600
4. Boggy Bayou to Greens	779+98	4.7	Colonial Pipeline Company	36	-55	-46	9	No	\$5,490,625
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.1	Targa	6	-72	-46	26	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Chevron Phillips Chemical LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Chevron Phillips Chemical LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Targa Downstream LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Targa Downstream LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Targa Downstream LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	940+00	5.2	Targa Downstream LP	8	-75	-46	29	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	922+70	5.3	Magellan Terminal Holdings, L.P.	36	-72.31 ³	-46	26.31	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	922+70	5.3	Magellan Terminal Holdings, L.P.	36	-72.31 ³	-46	26.31	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	922+70	5.3	Magellan Terminal Holdings, L.P.	14	-72.11 ³	-46	26.11	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	922+70	5.3	Magellan Terminal Holdings, L.P.	14	-72.11 ³	-46	26.11	Yes	

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	922+70	5.3	Magellan Terminal Holdings, L.P.	4	-72.11 ³	-46	26.11	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	892+58.8	5.4	Praxair Inc.	12	-55	-46	9	No	\$1,807,200
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	892+58.8	5.4	Praxair Inc.	12	-55	-46	9	No	\$1,807,200
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	953+93	6.1	Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminal LLC	16	-60 ⁴	-41	19	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	954+33.51	6.2	Explorer Pipeline Company	10	-90 ⁴	-41	49	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	954+74.7	6.3	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	20	-68 ⁴	-41	27	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	954+74.7	6.3	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	6	-68 ⁴	-41	27	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	956+52.33	6.4	Phillips 66 Carrier LLC	20	-93 ⁴	-41	52	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	957+25.5	6.5	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	16	-100 ⁴	-41	59	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	957+25.5	6.5	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	16	-100 ⁴	-41	59	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	958+92.8	6.6	Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminal LLC	20	-130 ⁴	-41	89	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	958+92.8	6.6	Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminal LLC	20	-130 ⁴	-41	89	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	958+92.8	6.7	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	16	-80 ⁴	-41	39	Yes	
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	958+92.8	6.7	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.	16	-80 ⁴	-41	39	Yes	

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	979+22.6	7.1	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	24	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	980+00	---	Precint 2 Washburn Tunnel	---	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1020+00	7.2	City of Houston	60	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1020+00	7.2	City of Houston	60	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1020+00	7.2	City of Houston	60	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1018+39	7.3	Shell Pipeline Company LP	12	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1018+39	7.3	Shell Pipeline Company LP	12	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.3	Magellan Pipeline Company LP	24	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.5	Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company LLC	18	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.6	BridgeTex Pipeline Company LLC	24	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.7	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	20	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.7	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	20	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1089+00	7.7	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	3	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Williams Tunnel with multiple pipelines	96	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.2.3	Enterprise Texas Pipeline LLC	12	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.2.1	KM Liquids Terminals LLC	16	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.2.2	Cowboy Pipeline Service Company	10	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.5	DL Propylene LLC	4	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.4	Enterprise Texas Pipeline LLC	12	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.6	Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC	30	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.7	Magellan Pipeline Company, LP	8	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Kinder Morgan Liquid Terminals	3	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Kinder Morgan Liquid Terminals	24	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Kinder Morgan Liquid Terminals	24	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	4	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	6	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	8	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Equistar Chemicals, LP	16	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
4. Greens to Sims Deepening	1043+00	8.1	Magellan Pipeline Holdings, LP	20	No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
Total:									\$ 27,184,555.00

Houston Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement Project
(HSC ECIP) Pipeline Evaluation

Study Segment Description	HSC Station (approx)	Study Index	Pipeline Descriptor	Size (in)	Minimum Depth ¹	Prop Channel Depth (MLLW)	Minimum Cover (feet)	Sufficient Cover?	Relocation Cost
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
5. Sims to Turning Basin	1099+50	9.01	Valero Refining-Texas, L.P.		No Changes to the Federal Channel Proposed				
Total:									\$ -

¹ Minimum depth, unless otherwise indicated is in MLT per record drawing. Depth of pipeline is established at a horizontal offset of 50-feet from the new toe of slope when widening the channel (Basis of evaluation: Regional General Permit SWG-1998-02413 (Installation of Pipelines by Directional Drilling)).

² Recommend additional investigation. At this time, assumed widening of channel. Final design may be deepening only, with sufficient depth (90-feet).

³ Elevation with least cover at the edge of usable channel and potential Turning Basin extension. As of 7 Feb 2019, Turning Basin not in NED.

⁴ No proposed deepening. Minimal deepening may be required to minimize hydraulic impacts in the channel. No impact to pipelines.

⁵ No proposed deepening. Minimal deepening may be required to minimize hydraulic impacts in the channel. No impact to pipelines.

⁶ Pipeline runs parallel to BSC in defined 50-foot easement. Top of bank of BSC does not encroach easement, but is less than 50-feet horizontal of pipeline (abandoned in place)

⁷ Pipeline runs parallel to BCSC and crosses BCSC in Turning Basin. No planned work in Turning Basin.